An Investigation of Factors Affecting Wettability of Some Southern Hardwoods

Todd F. Shupe Chung Y. Hse Wan H. Wang

Abstract

Wettability of sanded and nonsanded transverse and tangential sections of 22 southern hardwood species were judged by measurement of contact angles using phenol-formaldehyde resins. As expected, contact angle values on transverse sections were higher than on tangential sections for both sanded and nonsanded surfaces. On sanded surfaces, hackberry had the highest mean contact angle (64.7°), and black oak had the lowest mean contact angle (50.1°). On nonsanded surfaces, winged elm had the highest mean contact angle (59.1°), and sweetgum had the lowest mean contact angle (45.9°). In addition, 4 of the 22 species (southern red oak, sweetgum, white oak, and post oak) were selected to investigate the effect of ovendrying, air-drying, and freeze-drying on wettability. The mean transverse contact angle was 2.1° to 29.0° and 5.1° to 31.5° higher than radial and tangential values, respectively. The contact angle pattern typically displayed for a given species and plane was generally ovendry > air-dry > freeze-dry. The species pattern for most drying methods and planes was: sweetgum > white oak > post oak > Southern red oak. White oak and post oak gave similar contact angle values.

Objectives

- investigate the wettability of 22 southern hardwood species;
- determine the effect of wood plane on wettability; and
- examine the effect of three drying methods on the wettability of four southern hardwoods.

Materials and methods

Twenty-two hardwood species were selected for this study. The species common name, scientific name, and specific gravity are listed in Table 1. Ten trees with a diameter at breast height between 5.5 inches and 6.5 inches outside bark were selected for each species. The sampling locations were broadly distributed throughout that portion of each species. The sample range was the 11-state area extending from Virginia to northern Florida and west to Arkansas and eastern Texas. Only one tree of a particular species was cut at any one location.

Sample preparation was similar to that previously described by Choong et al. (1974). We se-

Shupe:

Forest Products Specialist, Louisiana Coop. Ext. Serv., LSU Agric. Center, Baton Rouge, La.

Hee:

Principal Wood Scientist, USDA Forest Serv., Southern Res. Sta., Pineville, La.

Wang:

Assoc. Professor, Dept. of Wood Sci. and Tech., Nanjing Forestry Univ., Nanjing, China

lected 5.08-cm-thick disks that were removed at 1.8 m above ground for each tree. Three dowelshaped samples were cut from each disk using a Greenlee plug cutter. The ends of the dowels were either perpendicular to the grain (transverse), or to the radial or tangential planes. This was to guarantee that the surface of the disks was that of one of the three primary structural directions. Also, this ensured that fluid movement would be in one of the three primary structural directions. It was not possible to distinguish heartwood and sapwood in some species. Therefore, the sampling proximity to the pith was the only assurance of avoiding sapwood.

Contact angle determination

Contact angle determination was accomplished with a microscope equipped with a goniometer eyepiece. The microscope tube was arranged horizontally. The specimen was placed on the stage, and a 0.05-ml droplet of phenol-formaldehyde (PF) resin (44% solids content) was applied with a pipette to the surface of the specimen. The con-

tact angle was measured by rotating the goniometer eyepiece so that the hairline passed through the point of contact between droplet and veneer and was tangent to the droplet at that point. All measurements were made 5 seconds after the resin or water had been dropped.

Phase 1

Phase 1 was conducted with a commercial PF resin that contained 44 percent solids. Contact angle measurements were recorded on the transverse and tangential sections of 22 species. For each specimen, one of the transverse and tangential surfaces was sanded for 5 minutes with 100-grit sandpaper. The corresponding transverse and tangential surfaces on the same specimens were left unsanded. Therefore, each sample contained sanded and unsanded transverse and tangential surfaces.

Phase 2

Phase 2 was executed with laboratory-prepared PF resin.

Table 1.—The 22 species studied and their specific gravities. Specific gravity data taken from Choong et al. (1974).

Species	Scientific name	Specific gravity range	
Blackjack oak	Quercus marilandica Muenchh.	0.70 to 0.86	
White oak	Quercus alba L.	0.71 to 0.91	
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis L.	0.51 to 0.70	
American elm	Ulmus americana L.	0.52 to 0.64	
Water oak	Quercus nigra L.	0.59 to 0.78	
Black oak	Quercus velutina Lam.	0.65 to 0.85	
Shumard oak	Quercus shumardii Buckl.	0.66 to 0.83	
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra L.	0.65 to 0.80	
Post oak	Quercus stellata Wangenh.	0.71 to 0.98	
True hickory	Cana spp.	0.68 to 0.90	
Southern red oak	Quercus falcata Michx.	0.62 to 0.88	
Laurel oak	Quercus laurifolia Michx.	0.60 to 0.74	
Red maple	Acer rubrum L.	0.49 to 0.60	
White ash	Fraxinus americana L.	0.64 to 0.76	
Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh.	0.51 to 0.71	
Sweetgum	Liquidambar styraciflua L.	0.46 to 0.57	
Yellow-poplar	Liriodendron tulipifera L.	0.36 to 0.55	
Sweetbay	Magnolia virginia L.	0.38 to 0.55	
Cherrybark oak	Quercus falcata var. pagodaefolia Ell.	0.63 to 0.82	
Winged elm	Ulmus alata Michx.	0.62 to 0.77	
Black tupelo	Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.	0.45 to 0.67	
Scarlet oak	Quercus coccinea Muenchh.	0.64 to 0.85	

^a Specific gravity determined from longitudinal permeability samples, based on ovendry weight and dimensions.

• mole ratio of formaldehyde to phenol: 1.85;

• mole ratio of sodium hydroxide to phenol: 0.45;

 viscosity: 250 cps; solids content: 41%; and

• pH: 12.0

Conclusions

Phase 1

- Significant wettability differences existed between species (Tables 2–4).
- Transverse values were typically higher than tangential values on both sanded and unsanded surfaces.

Phase 2

- Significant wettability differences existed on the transverse, radial, and tangential sections for the four species.
- Transverse values were typically higher than radial and tangential.
- Air-dried samples on average had the highest contact angles, and freeze-dried samples usually gave the lowest contact angle values (Table 5).

Table 2.—Mean contact angle values for 22 southern hardwood species on the transverse and tangential faces. Specimens were tested in the air-dry condition, and the surface was sanded.

	Sanded surface					
Species	Transverse surface ^a	COV	Tangential surface ^a	COV	Transverse – Tangential	Mean
	,	(%)		(%)		
Blackjack oak	62.0 (ABCD)	(8.0)	62.3 (A)	(4.4)	-0.3	62.2
White oak	68.3 (A)	(5.3)	58.5 (ABCDE)	(5.2)	9.8	63.4
Hackberry	67.6 (A)	(4.7)	61.7 (AB)	(4.3)	-0.1	64.7
American elm	59.3 (CD)	(8.4)	55.3 (CDE)	(5.7)	4.0	57.3
Water oak	68.3 (A)	(3.6)	58.5 (ABCDE)	(3.0)	9.8	63.4
Black oak	51.2 (E)	(8.9)	49.0 (F)	(9.0)	2.2	50.1
Shumard oak	56.5 (DE)	(12.0)	53.6 (DEF)	(5.9)	2.9	55.1
Northern red oak	63.5 (ABC)	(4.4)	57.9 (ABCDE)	(6.6)	5.6	60.7
Post oak	62.2 (ABCD)	(8.8)	55.3 (CDE)	(5.7)	6.9	58.8
Hickory	63.1 (ABCD)	(5.4)	61.0 (ABC)	(4.7)	2.1	62.1
Southern red oak	•	(9.6)	56.3 (BCDE)	(6.6)	6.0	59.3
Laurel oak	66.7 (AB)	(4.9)	60.9 (ABC)	(5.9)	5.8	63.8
Red maple	60.0 (BCD)	(5.9)	53.4 (EF)	(7.3)	6.6	56.7
White ash	63.5 (ABC)	(6.8)	57.5 (ABCDE)	(6.1)	6.0	60.5
Green ash	62.3 (ABCD)	(5.9)	60.4 (ABC)	(3.9)	1.9	61.4
Sweetgum	60.1 (BCD)	(8.3)	56.6 (ABCDE)	(8.9)	3.5	58.4
Yellow-poplar	65.0 (ABC)	(4.2)	57.5 (ABCDE)	(9.2)	7.5	61.3
Sweetbay	68.0 (A)	(4.8)	59.6 (ABCD)	(4.5)	8.4	63.8
Cherrybark oak	60.3 (BCD)	(3.9)	59.5 (ABCD)	(3.9)	0.8	59.9
Winged elm	58.9 (CD)	(6.4)	59.0 (ABCDE)	(6.2)	-0.1	59.0
Black tupelo	62.0 (ABCD)	(5.5)	58.0 (ABCDE)	(8.3)	4.0	60.0
Scarlet oak	62.2 (ABCD)	(2.0)	55.8 (BCDE)	(9.7)	6.4	59.0

^a Each mean value represents 24 observations. Letters in parentheses represent Scheffé groupings. Species with similar letters are not statistically different at α = 0.05. Species comparisons were made within a particular surface (either transverse or tangential).

Table 3.—Mean contact angle values for 22 southern hardwood species on the transverse and tangential faces. Specimens were tested in the air-dry condition, and the surface was not sanded.

Nonsanded surface Transverse **Tangential** Transverse -COV Species surfacea surfacea COV Tangential Mean (%) (%) Blackjack oak 52.6 (DEFG) (9.0)47.4 (ABCDE) (11.4)5.2 50.0 White oak 59.6 (BCDE) (6.8)51.5 (AB) (8.9)8.1 55.6 Hackberry 58.5 (BCDEF) (10.1)48.0 (ABCD) (11.9)10.5 53.3 American elm 52.1 (EFG) (7.6)43.0 (ABCDE) (13.9)9.1 47.6 Water oak 63.0 (ABC) (5.5)46.3 (ABCDE) (12.0)16.7 54.7 Black oak 54.8 (CDEFG) (11.8)44.7 (ABCDE) (10.9)9.6 50.0 Shumard oak 61.3 (ABC) (6.9)43.0 (ABCDE) (17.3)18.3 52.2 Northern red oak 61.1 (ABCD) (8.9)44.7 (ABCDE) (11.2)16.4 52.9 Post oak 58.6 (BCDEF) (9.4)38.2 (E) (17.4)20.4 48.4 Hickory 62.0 (ABC) (8.7)49.8 (AB) (11.9)12.2 55.9 Southern red oak 57.5 (BCDEFG) 7.7 (11.6)49.8 (AB) (11.9)53.7 12.8 Laurel oak 56.2 (BCDEFG) (10.2)43.4 (ABCDE) (14.8)49.8 23.0 Red maple (5.7)39.9 (DE) (12.8)51.4 62.9 (ABC) White ash 44.3 (ABCDE) (10.7)20.2 54.4 64.5 (AB) (8.5)13.8 54.1 Green ash 61.0 (ABCD) (8.5)47.2 (ABCDE) (11.3)Sweetgum 49.6 (G) (10.1)42.2 (BCDE) (10.2)7.4 45.9 40.2 (CDE) 14.7 47.6 Yellow-poplar 54.9 (CDEFG) (9.5)(13.9)Sweetbay (10.3)44.7 (ABCDE) (14.2)5.9 47.7 50.6 (FG) Cherrybark oak 64.2 (AB). (9.5)52.3 (A) (10.0)11.9 58.3 Winged elm 49.5 (ABC) (5.1)19.1 59.1 (5.3)68.6 (A) Black tupelo 8.7 51.0 55.3 (CDEFG) (9.6)46.6 (ABCDE) (12.5)(15.9)51.8 Scarlet oak (8.3)46.0 (ABCDE) 11.6 57.6 (BCDEFG)

Table 4.—Summarized analysis of variance of the effect of 22 species and surface preparation on contact angle.

SOV	df	Contact angle P—value	
Species	21	0.0198ª	
Sand	1	0.0001 ^b	
Species × sand	21	0.0001 ^b	

^a Denotes significance at $\alpha = 0.05$.

^a Each mean value represents 24 observations. Letters in parentheses represent Scheffé groupings. Species with similar letters are not statistically different at $\alpha = 0.05$. Species comparisons were made within a particular surface (either transverse or tangential).

b Denotes significance at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Table 5.—Mean contact angle values of four southern hardwoods. Specimens were ovendried, air-dried, or freeze-dried prior to contact angle determination on all three planes of the wood. Each mean value represents 30

observations. There were 10 observations per sample.

• _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ovendried			
Species	Transverse	COV	Radial	COV	Tangential -	COV
		(%)		(%)		(%)
Southern red oak	53.3	(6.0)	51.2	(7.8)	48.3	(4.9)
Sweetgum	98.0	(8.9)	69.1	(7.8)	66.5	(3.8)
White oak	71.2	(4.7)	57.2	(4.8)	56.4	(9.5)
Post oak	68.7	(4.8)	59.9	(8.7)	59.8	(9.4)
			Air-	dried		, .
Species	Transverse	COV	Radial	COV	Tangential	COV
Southern red oak	55.2	(13.4)	46.4	(6.8)	47.7	(3.0)
Sweetgum	88.3	(9.3)	63.2	(4.4)	64.3	(6.8)
White oak	78.9	(5.0)	62.3	(4.6)	60.9	(5.5)
Post oak	72.2	(2.6)	58.5	(3.3)	58.4	(5.1)
			Freeze	e-dried	·	,
Species	Transverse	COV	Radial	COV	Tangential	COV
Southern red oak	48.3	(9.0)	39.8	(5.9)	41.9	(7.2)
Sweetgum	73.5 ·	(6.2)	55.6	(13.1)	62.2	(4.6)
White oak	68.3	(6.1)	63.3	(4.2)	55.5	(7.0)
Post oak	63.0	(4.9)	56.3	(6.1)	58.1	(7.8)